UNITED NATIONS GROUP ON THE INFORMATION SOCIETY (UNGIS)

Open Consultation Process on Overall Review of the Implementation of the WSIS Outcomes (WSIS+10)

Phase One : First Physical Meeting during the WSIS Forum 2011

Note: This executive summary has an informal character and has been prepared based on the recording available at http://groups.itu.int/wsis-forum2011/About/RemoteParticipation.aspx. The main purpose of this executive summary is to facilitate follow-up and future discussions on the Overall Review (WSIS+10) to be held within the framework of the next phases of the UNGIS Open Consultation Process. In case of any questions WSIS Stakeholders are invited to contact the Secretariat at contact@ungis.org

Draft Executive Summary of Statements

WSIS STAKEHOLDERS	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF STATEMENTS RECEIVED
India (Government)	1) India has been supporting the WSIS Process from the beginning and welcomes the UNGIS Open Consultation Process. 2) Important to ensure that the benefits of ICTs reach the last mile, in this regard would offer two suggestions: a. All communities are creating their own infrastructure. Let us create a mechanism so that we can evolve a **common platform** in the area of financial inclusion, agriculture, health or education, where all information is available to all the people worldwide. We would be very happy to host such a common platform in India and provide with the required resources. b. Let us identify areas of common interest, such as security, open data, and use of social media for citizen engagement (today people want to be part of the political process). 3) Requests a. India would like to be **Strategic Partner of WSIS** b. **India would like to host the Overall Review Meeting in 2014**

World Summit Award (Civil Society)	1) Congratulate the ITU for this year's WSIS Forum, in particular the excellent WSIS Forum website, remote participation and the emphasis on dialogue. 2) WSA welcomes this open consultation process. The world's changed tremendously since the two-phase WSIS, with mobile growth for instance. The issue of access of infrastructure and technology is a very different one than from 2005, as well as the digital divide (less of technology and infrastructure, but more of content and cost). **3)** In the process leading up to 2015 **content and cost need to be taken into consideration**, we should **focus on best practices in the area of content.** 4) **Criteria of evaluation regarding the WSIS Stocktaking process** needs to be specified.
CSD PTT & CESIR (Civil Society)	1) The topic of funding of the WSIS Targets to foster development needs to be addressed. 2) Suggest setting up a **multistakeholder Forum on the topic of funding**, similar to IGF, to submit recommendations to UNGIS or UNDESA. 3) UNGIS could be the vector of this Forum, and could help organise this Forum, there is a need to create a structure for this forum. 4) In the next WSIS Forum funding to be discussed as a major theme.
The Guild of Service (Civil Society, India)	1) **Support the request of the Indian delegation** for the overall review of the WSIS Process to be held in India in 2014, this would have a ripple effects on the entire region.
Hungary	1) Congratulations, WSIS Forum has exceeded expectations. 2) Thoughts on Review Process: **a.** EU Countries not clear about the **year of the review process 2014 or 2015** b. Is it too early to start the open consultations?
Civicus (Civil Society)	1) It is not too early to start talking about WSIS+10 2) It is important to start now to **inform and activate civil society to participate** 3) Important to share ideas on the preparatory process with the civil society
Civil Society	1) Congratulate the organizers for successful participation and good quality of discussions at the WSIS Forum 2011. 2) Consider **setting up a voluntary assessment body before 2014**, so that member states and stakeholders

	can send their outcomes to this body. 3) With respect to Civil Society, funding and means to attend WSIS Forums each year needs to be addressed. 4) Congratulate the Indian Delegation, for their offer to host the WSIS Review in 2014 and applaud them for the publication released during the forum.
Russia Federation	1) Congratulate WSIS as a truly multi-stakeholder platform. 2) We need to work towards **providing results of implementation of WSIS**, with new technologies (broadband and mobility) we also have new challenges (cybersecurity, child protection, etc.). These must be taken into account when the results and proposal for the future of WSIS will be assessed. 3) Propose that a consultation should take place to define ways to **report to the General Assembly**. The Review of WSIS outcomes could be only as a report without any consideration at a global forum, but also could be in the form of a High Level Meeting with participation of all stakeholders in 2014, e.g. in conjunction with PP-10 or a Separate Summit 4) It would be reasonable to say that this **review should be completed by 2014** to be reported to the Millenium+15 Summit in 2015. 5) **Steering Committee** needs to be created as soon as the type of meeting is decided. 6) **Open Consultation should be completed by end of September 2011.** At the Plenipotentiary Conference more than 160 administrations instructed the Secretary General of ITU to report to the Council 2011 that will take place in October 2011.
Poland	1) In July 2011 Poland taking over the presidency from Hungary in the Council of the European Union. 2) WSIS Process is inclusive and Poland in its future role will ensure **good cooperation with EU** in this process.
Finland	1) Welcome the organization of an Event to review what has been achieved 2) The **WSIS Overall Review event should have a strong link to the MDGs** and link to the MDG Summit. 3) Finland favours a **true multi-stakeholder approach** that would be **action oriented** and is **not in favor of a lengthy preparatory process** e.g. with prepcom etc. 4) The **WSIS Forum could be used as a platform for the review of the WSIS Outcomes**, with special focus on WSIS Implementation.
United Arab Emirates	1) **Stocktaking methodology** needs to be frozen. We are still talking on how to measure progress. 2) We need to assure a **global report on countries**

	progress, along with a ranking. 3) We have to show countries where they are lacking and give them the chance to improve before the end of the process. 4) **2014 should be made as a year of a global assessment** on the implementation of the WSIS goals. If we failed we need to decide how to improve. 5) **2015 we need to announce global progress** and achievements and WSIS conclusions 6) The **WSIS process needs to be concluded by 2015**, we have new challenges and priorities. With evolution of technologies everything changes. 7) UAE is committed to the process and will support ITU till the end of the process. There is a need for a very strong leadership from ITU
International Chamber of Commerce (BASIS Initiative) (Private Sector)	1) ICC is an active contributor to the WSIS Process since the beginning. 2) WSIS process should continue to include all WSIS Stakeholders in an equal footing. **3)** ICC would encourage all to remain sensitive to the **limited financial resources.** 4) ICC is pleased that **prepcoms are not being considered for this process**. 5) ICC would encourage all **to maximize benefits from the online tools**
Civil Society	1) Important to **enhance participation of Universities and academicians** in the WSIS Process. There is even a resolution approved for this. 2) Academia need to be encouraged to join the WSIS process.
Brazil	1) Propose to set up a **task force for the preparatory process**, to design the process and implement remote participation as an integral part of the whole preparatory process itself. 2) Preparatory process must be inclusive and participatory.
Senegal	1) Important to focus on MDGs and the use ICTs to foster development. **2)** Proposal to **use resources most effectively** 3) Carry out a **review on a regional basis/ a regional mechanism** to identify success and failures, also to show how can we improve, why we failed and how can we do better in the future.
Microsoft (Private Sector)	1) **Inclusion of Private Sector needed, and was visible** this at WSIS Forum 2011. 2) We support the future of WSIS in particular, e government, IGF, cloud computing, ICT4D, crisis

	repose and road safety 3) We support goals for online collaboration and facilitation of the future
Association for Progressive Communication (APC) (Civil Society)	1) Proposals for improving the implementation process, processes must be clearly defined for participation 2) Processes must be guided by some **principles, with clear mechanism** especially for developing countries , they should be pro-active, and cross-cutting entities. 3) WSIS Stocktaking, **time for analysis and evaluation**. Correlation between what we are taking stock of and the WSIS Outcome themselves. 4) The preparatory process should have **a global and regional approach**. We should use regional IGF processes. 5) APC remains committed to the WSIS processes and on building civil society participation.
Algeria	1) **Raising awareness and enhancing cooperation amongst WSIS Stakeholders at a regional and country level is very important.** Setting up of awareness raising entities in each country is priority. 2) In the next stages of the process important to create focus groups to reach the targets set.
Civil Society	1) Important for Civil Society to know the **format and content** of the WSIS Overall review. 2) **Remote Participation** very important for the process, for Africa for example it is a good idea to use the telecommunications schools. They are already visible and active. 3) It is important for the process to be genuinely multi-stakeholder. 4) We need to be concrete and pragmatic – thematic approach useful for this fora.
Civil Society (Sudan)	1) Important to have **country level meetings every year** to evaluate WSIS Implementation in each country. The reports of these meetings can be submitted to the WIS Forum each year.
Civil Society (Remote Participation)	1) It will be important to be clear about the thematic focus of the WSIS Forums.
Republic of Guinea	**1) Concentrate on themes interesting / important for regions at a regional level.** 2) Important to create **regional forums** so that they relate to the implementation of ICTs in the region.
Civil Society (Brazil)	1) Multi stakeholder approach for outreach to bring in new participants and stakeholders. 2) Setting up of a **Working Group to find the links between WSIS process and IGF process**. 3) Exchange **good practices between IGF and WSIS**,

	remote participation is a good example. 4) More support from other organizations to the IGF secretariat